

Lessons Learned Maintaining a Stable Tree

Sasha Levin

RC, Mainline, Stable and LTS

- RC (Release Candidate) – Development cycle
- Mainline – Standard release, every 2-3 months
- Stable – Previous mainline + fixes
- LTS (Long Term Stable) – Older stable + more fixes

What's active?

- RC: 4.2-rc1
- Mainline: 4.1
- Stable: 4.1.1
- LTS: 2.6.32, 3.2, 3.14, 3.18, 4.1

What do I do?

- 3.18 LTS
- Who uses it?
 - OpenWrt 15.05 “Chaos Calmer”
 - SteamOS 2 “Brewmaster”
 - Linaro 3.18 LSK
 - Probably you, at some point

What goes in?

- Short, obviously correct, “real” fixes
- New device IDs or quirks
- Must be in Linus' tree

So many patches

- `$ git log --oneline --grep 'stable@vger.kernel.org' v4.0..v4.1 | wc -l`
- 476
- About 6 patches/day
- What about the ones not tagged? Quirks? New IDs?
- No way around having to go through most patches by hand

A helping hand

- Cc: stable@vger.kernel.org # <ver>
- Fixes: commit (“subject”)
- Good commit messages(!)
- Even mediocre commit messages are fine
- Backports

Common sense

- Did any of the helping hands provide help?
- Single purpose files
- Keywords in description
- What does the patch do?

Automation

- We can **try** and automate things
- “Fixes:”, “Cc: [...]”
- Paths
- Because commits are mostly hand written, we can't rely on that too much

Examples

- Yes:
 - Cc: <stable@vger.kernel.org> # v3.15+
- No:
 - Cc: <stable@vger.kernel.org> [3.18+]
 - Cc: <stable@vger.kernel.org> # 4.1, 4.0, 3.19, 3.18
 - Cc: stable@vger.kernel.org #3.10 and later
 - Cc: stable@vger.kernel.org # for version 3.17+
 - Cc: Stable <stable@vger.kernel.org> (v3.17 .. v3.19)

Prerequisites

- Cc: <stable@vger.kernel.org> # 3.3.x:
a1f84a3: sched: Check for idle
- Is so rarely used that it was probably not worth automating
- Why? Is there a better way?

More automation

- Auto cherry pick and build
- On failure, try and find dependencies
 - Blame/Pickaxe
 - Bisection
- Commit message heuristics
- Authors

Shoulders of giants

- When not sure, go see what Greg did
- Compare end result with Greg's tree
- Audit discrepancies
- “Rebase-back”

Helpful community

fuse: initialize fc->release before calling it

fc->release is called from fuse_conn_put() which was used in the error

cleanup before fc->release was initialized.

[Jeremiah Mahler <jmmahler@gmail.com>: assign fc->release after calling fuse_conn_init(fc) instead of before.]

Signed-off-by: Miklos Szeredi <mszeredi@suse.cz>

Fixes: a325f9b92273 ("fuse: update fuse_conn_init() and separate out fuse_conn_kill()")

Cc: <stable@vger.kernel.org> #v2.6.31+

And not too helpful

drm/radeon: clean up
radeon_audio_enable

- make it static
- fix mask/bool handling for last param

Signed-off-by: xxx <xxx@xxx.com>

Cc: stable@vger.kernel.org

Commit message? What's that?

[PARISC] wire up sendmmsg syscall

Cc: stable@kernel.org

Signed-off-by: xxx <xxx@xxx.com>

Things that are broken (IMO)

- -rc patches
 - linux-next
 - “urgent”
- The -stable review process
- Stable testing

Complaints ^ W Suggestions

- If you care about a specific patch/version, please pay attention to the review cycle.
- Please use tags to help automation.
- Please use correct tags to help automation.
- If you're working on a complex change, consider backporting.

Ideas

- Patch checker?
- Mainline monitoring
- Cross-tree audits
- Summary

Thank you

Sasha Levin
sasha.levin@oracle.com