

ACPI on ARM/ARM64



Graeme Gregory

18th September 2013

Why ACPI?



- ▶ Industry standard for x86
- ▶ OEM driven activity
- ▶ Already used in Windows RT
- ▶ ACPI specification is freely available.

<http://acpi.info/>

but is ACPI?



- ▶ A horrible standard impossible to implement
- ▶ x86 only
- ▶ going to cause the end of the world

ARM/ARM64 Status



- ▶ Basic Platform support for arm/arm64
- ▶ Tables from FDT or UEFI
- ▶ Devices can be probed from DSDT
- ▶ CPU Topology/Hotplug Plumbing

- ▶ armv7 Arndale, armv8 Foundation Model, armv8 RTSM VE Model
- ▶ OpenEmbedded and Ubuntu based rootfs
- ▶ ACPICA tools available in OpenEmbedded and Linaro PPA
- ▶ Kernel -
<https://git.linaro.org/gitweb?p=arm/acpi/acpi.git>
- ▶ Example ASL -
<https://git.linaro.org/gitweb?p=arm/acpi/acpi-asl.git>

- ▶ ACPI ASL test suite integrated into LAVA (Linaro Automated Validation Architecture) for arm/arm64
- ▶ ACPI API test suite integrated into LAVA for arm/arm64
- ▶ FWTS (FirmWare Test Suite) for arm/arm64.

- ▶ How to handle the data currently supplied by FDT?
- ▶ Current resources specified in ACPI are insufficient for SoCs.
- ▶ CPU power states different from x86.
- ▶ No exact NMI equivalent for low latency error reporting.

FDT vs ACPI Resources



DTS

```
reg = <0x12C60000 0x100>;
interrupts = <0 56 0>;
gpios = <&gpb3 0 2 3 0>,
        <&gpb3 1 2 3 0>;
```

ASL

```
Method (_CRS, 0x0, Serialized) {
    Name (RBUF, ResourceTemplate ()
    {
        Memory32Fixed (ReadWrite, 0x12C60000, 0x00000100)
        Interrupt (ResourceConsumer, Level, ActiveLow, Exclusive, , , )
            {0x58}
        GpioIo (Exclusive, PullDefault, , , , "\\_SB.GPB3")
            {0x2A, 0x2B}
    })
    Return (RBUF)
}
```

FDT vs ACPI the Rest



DTS

```
samsung,i2c-slave-addr = <0x66>;  
samsung,i2c-sda-delay = <100>;  
samsung,i2c-max-bus-freq = <100000>;
```

SoCs Extra Resources?



- ▶ Clocks
- ▶ Voltage/Current Sources
- ▶ Other?

- ▶ Power state definitions insufficient for ARM.
- ▶ Need both entry and exit latencies for C states
- ▶ Latencies vary depending on current P state

- ▶ Linux does not allow higher priority IRQ to pre-empt
- ▶ SEI mechanism may be used on arm64
- ▶ Will require firmware support in higher EL

- ▶ ACPI for ARM/ARM64 is under ongoing development
- ▶ There will need to be extensions to ACPI 5.0 specification
- ▶ <https://wiki.linaro.org/LEG/Engineering/Kernel/ACPI/>



UEFI on ARM/ARM64

Leif Lindholm

Linux Plumbers Conference, 18th September
2013



Outline



Why?

Who?

What?

How?

And next?

Resources

Why?



- ▶ UEFI is becoming the norm for x86 firmware, and is the firmware solution preferred by ARM.
- ▶ Linaro's OEM and distribution members want to use the same install/deploy/upgrade mechanisms on arm/arm64 as on x86.
- ▶ UEFI provides a standardised way to deal with the greater platform divergence in the ARM hardware echosystem (no more flash-kernel).
- ▶ Windows requires UEFI on ARM.

Who?



- ▶ UEFI Forum
 - ▶ The UEFI specification is maintained/published by the UEFI Forum.
- ▶ Tianocore Project
 - ▶ The Tianocore project provides an opensource implementation of the UEFI specification, as well as related tools and utilities.
- ▶ ARM
 - ▶ ARM Ltd. provides the fundamental architectural support for the ARM architectures.
- ▶ Linaro
 - ▶ Linaro maintains platforms trees, which you can build complete firmware images from, and does feature development towards x86 parity.

What?



So what has Linaro been working on so far?

- ▶ Platform tree + SCT
- ▶ UEFI kernel support
- ▶ Grub
- ▶ EFI Stub
- ▶ Network boot

How?



So what have we actually done?

Platform tree + SCT



We have a platforms tree, based on Tianocore with added support for generating a basic standalone image. This tree also holds features completed but not yet upstreamed. We make monthly(-ish) binary releases for a number of platforms.

`git://git.linaro.org/arm/uefi/uefi.git`

The Self-Certification Test suite is available to UEFI Forum members, which includes Linaro. We run this on our platforms tree.

Kernel needs to

- ▶ Define an interface to (from?) UEFI
 - ▶ preserve (some) UEFI memory regions
 - ▶ access EFI System Table
 - ▶ scan configuration tables
 - ▶ ACPI, SMBIOS, ...
- ▶ be able to call back into UEFI
 - ▶ SetVirtualAddressMap()
 - ▶ EFIVARS

- ▶ Preserving memory regions requires early access to the UEFI memory map
 - ▶ We define that the loader must add the UEFI memory map to the FDT
- ▶ Some configuration tables contain information needed early in the boot process
 - ▶ x86 and ia64 contained separate code for doing this - a third separate implementation was not appreciated.
 - ▶ x86 and ia64 use `early_ioremap()`. ARM did not have `early_ioremap()`.

Runtime services

- ▶ Before runtime services can be called by the kernel, any preserved UEFI memory regions must be mapped into virtual address space and (linker) relocated via the `SetVirtualAddressMap()` call.
- ▶ This call must be made with a 1:1 physical:virtual address mapping.
 - ▶ After the MMU has been enabled.
- ▶ This call is only be possible to make once.

Grub, the project formerly known as Grub2. Port to 32-bit ARM on both U-Boot and UEFI platforms.

- ▶ Implement glue layer between firmware and Grub
- ▶ Implement dynamic relocations for loadable modules
- ▶ Implement a Linux loader
- ▶ Implement executable image generation, and adapt install scripts

zImage as its own UEFI boot loader

- ▶ Making zImage a valid ARM PE/COFF executable
 - ▶ While still keeping it a valid zImage
- ▶ Embed a small loader application, conforming to the same protocol as previous Grub port
- ▶ parts of existing x86 code made generic, and reused

- ▶ Implement drivers and necessary bits for networking stack (parts done by ARM).
- ▶ Utilise UEFI network stack for Grub netbooting.

- ▶ Making sure everything above gets upstreamed
- ▶ Repeating all of the above for ARM64
 - ▶ Except for U-Boot port of Grub
- ▶ Get UEFI running on QEMU
- ▶ Kexec
- ▶ Privilege compartmentalisation of UEFI
- ▶ Big-endian?

- ▶ <https://wiki.linaro.org/LEG/Engineering/Kernel/UEFI/>
- ▶ <http://tianocore.sourceforge.net/>
- ▶ <http://www.uefi.org/>

