



openPOWERLINK over Xenomai

Pierre Ficheux (pierre.ficheux@openwide.fr)

October 2015



\$ whoami

- Free software enthusiast since 1989
- Linux user since 1992
- Author of 4 editions of « Linux embarqué » a french book about embedded Linux
- Managing editor of Open Silicium
- CTO @ Open Wide Ingénierie, a french software service company (Paris, Lyon, Toulouse, Grenoble)
- teacher @ EPITA (french computer science school)













- Industrial bus
- (open) POWERLINK introduction
- Linux and RT (PREEMPT-RT, Xenomai)
- OpenPOWERLINK over Xenomai architecture
- Problems, tests and results
- Future work



POWERLINK



Industrial bus

- Used to connect industrial devices in real time mode
- Main standards are both "serial" and/or Ethernet
 - CAN
 - MODBUS (-TCP)
 - Profinet
 - EtherCAT
 - EtherNet/IP (IP for Industrial Protocol)
 - POWERLINK !
- Ethernet is a standard
 - Easy to integrate, cheap hardware and good performances (CAN is 1 Mbps)
 - Homogeneous networking (routing, etc.)
 - No RT because of CSMA/CD (collision detection)



- Deterministic Ethernet based industrial bus
- Originally invented by B&R automation (Austria) in 2001
- Managed since 2003 by open organization EPSG (Ethernet POWERLINK Standardization Group)
- Leverage advantages of Ethernet for RT networking systems
- 1.1 M systems installed (#1 industrial Ethernet)
- Min cycle time is 100 $\mu s,$ 240 nodes on a single network
- Works on standard NIC (software only) 802.3 compliant
- Avoid collisions thanks to a dedicated protocol :-)
- Open-source version (2.2.1) *openPOWERLINK* available from SourceForge



POWERLINK frame





- One "manager" node (MN) and X "controlled" nodes (CN)
- Cycle divided in 3 steps
 - MN synchronizes CNs with a SoC (Start of Cycle) frame which starts "isochronous phase" (RT)
 - CN receives *PReq* (Poll Request) from MN, and replies with *PRes* (Poll Response) and data
 - Last step is "asynchronous phase" (no RT) started with *SoA.* Addressed node should answer *ASnd*
- Standard IP-based protocols and addressing can be used during the asynchronous phase



POWERLINK protocol





POWERLINK / CANopen

- CANopen is one of the most widely used application protocols today
- Standardized device description files
- POWERLINK defines a CANopen-based Application
 Layer
- Same device description files as CANopen
- Same object dictionaries and communication mechanisms
 - process data objects (PDO)
 - service data objects (SDO)
 - network management (NMT)
- POWERLINK = "CANopen over Ethernet"



Powerlink / CANopen

Device Profiles	I/O Encoders Val	ves Drives Medical Others			
Protocol Software	CANopen Application Layer – Object Dictionary Messaging (SDO and PDO)				
	CAN based CANopen Transport	POWERLINK Transport UDP/IP POWERLINK Driver			
	Can Driver	Ethernet Driver			
Hardware	Can Controller	Ethernet Controller			



openPOWERLINK

- BSD license
- Support for Linux, Windows, Xilinx/Altera FPGAs
- Official support for x86, ARM (Zynq)
- CMake based \rightarrow CMAKE_TOOLCHAIN_FILE for cross-compilation
- Buildroot packaging (version 1.08.5)
- Building process :
 - Stack
 - Drivers (if necessary)
 - Demo applications MN/CN (console, Qt)



Architecture 1 (kernel)

- Application in user space
- Stack and drivers in kernel space
- High performance and precision
- Specific drivers (*Edrv* for Ethernet drivers)
 - About 10 supported controllers
 - No Linux "mainlining"
- Hard to debug (kernel)





Architecture 2 (user)

- Moving stack to user space
- Using *libpCAP* to talk with standard Linux driver
- Proven solution
- Much easier to debug
- Works with PREEMPT-RT patch
- 100 μs jitter (only 40 μs in kernel)





Xenomai



Standard Linux & RT :-(



OpenPOWERLINK / Xenomai



"Extended" Linux & RT :-)





- Using Linux as "RTOS" is very interesting
 - POSIX
 - Hybrid approach with some RT tasks
 - Usable as a standard UNIX
- 2 solutions :
 - Upgrading Linux kernel RT performance (PREEMPT-RT)
 - Adding a RT "co-kernel" sharing hardware with Linux (RTLinux, RTAI, Xenomai)





- Maintained by Thomas Gleixner
- Mostly used on x86 (but runs on recent ARM, Nios2, Microblaze)
- Needs a mainline kernel (or something like)
- Very easy to install (just a kernel patch)
- Same programming APIs as standard kernel (user and kernel space)
- 50 μs jitter (x86/Atom), 150 μs on Raspberry Pi B+
- Currently usable with openPOWERLINK



Co-kernel

- Adding co-kernel for RT tasks
 - RT subsystem inside kernel module(s)
 - Needs kernel patch for hardware resource (IRQ) virtualization
- Main projects
 - Kernel only (RTLinux, 1996) → "dead"
 - Kernel & (partially) user space (RTAI, 1998)
 - Full user space integration (Xenomai, 2001)
- 10 μs jitter on tom/x86, 50 μs on Raspberry Pi B+



RTLinux architecture (kernel only)







- Maintained by Philippe Gerum
- Xenomai = realtime Linux subsystem
 - RT tasks in user space
 - RT driver API = RTDM for "Real Time Driver Model"
 - RT network stack = RTnet !
- Include "skins" for POSIX, VxWorks, VRTX, uITRON, pSOS, ...
- Runs on top of I-pipe/Adeos (Interrupt pipeline)
 - Xenomai domain (RT)
 - Linux domain (No RT)
- v3 can run on top of PREEMPT-RT
- Currently v2.6.4 et v3.0-rc7
- GPL license (kernel), LGPL (user)



Xenomai 3 architecture





- I-pipe = interrupt source for domains (Xenomai, Linux)
- Highest priority to Xenomai (RT)





Xenomai in industry

- CANFestival (CANopen stack)
- PEAK System CAN boards drivers
- EtherCAT master
- RT SPI driver (i.MX28)
- BEREMIZ, integrated development environment for machine automation



POWERLINK over Xenomai



POWERLINK and Xenomai

- Started as 6 months internship with Damien Lagneux from ECE Paris
- Currently a "proof of concept" by OWI
- ARM/i.MX6 target (Armadeus APF6, RIOTboard)
- Xenomai is often used by our customers









- Xenomai v2 contribution, merged with v3
- Based on RTDM (protocol device)
- Limited hardware support (dedicated driver API)
 - Fec, AT91, AM335x (BB Black)
 - RTL8139, Natsemi, PCnet32, ...
 - MPC8xxx, ...
- Example session (BB Black)
 - # insmod rtnet .ko
 - # insmod rt_smsc.ko
 - # insmod rt_davinci_mdio.ko
 - # insmod rt_ticpsw.ko
 - # insmod rtpacket.ko
 - # insmod rtipv4.ko
 - # rtifconfig rteth0 up 192.168.1.1
 - # rtroute add 192.168.1.2 00:22:15:80:D5:88 dev rteth0
 - # rtping 192.168.1.2



- Current openPOWERLINK architecture is based on libPCAP
- libPCAP is based on "packet socket" (Linux)
- Xenomai RTnet stack includes packet socket support (rtpacket module)
- Porting libPCAP to Xenomai is too long for internship
- Hardware is limited by RTnet drivers but just a POC...



Architecture





Architecture

- PCAP layer removed
- Sending / receiving packet (through packet socket) directly from/to the openPOWERLINK stack
- Modified RT network interfaces searching
- RTnet architecture is close to POWERLINK "kernel" architecture



- Problem 1
 - Only the first SoA frame of the POWERLINK cycle is emitted
 - We have to implement a new packet handler since RTnet stack cannot capture packets it sent
- Problem 2
 - POWERLINK cycle stops due to an unsent PollResponse frame
 - We have increase the Ethernet driver buffer pool



Test configuration

- 1 i.MX6 board as MN
- 2 B&R modules as CN
- 1 B&R capture module (timestamping)
- 1 PC for saving frames





Test and results

- Xenomai solution is close to Linux "kernel" version of openPOWERLINK (architecture 1)
- Comparison with Baumgartner/Schoenegger paper (B&R)
- Workload with dd, hackbench, "flood ping"

Reference Cycle Time:	$500 \ \mu s$
Measured Cycles:	$10 \cdot 10^6$
Clock Source:	hpet
Linux Kernel:	2.6.31.12-rt21

Xenomai (i.MX6, 3.x kernel)

Stress Tests	Min Cycle	Max Cycle	Deviation
Idle	$460.3 \ \mu s$	548.8 μs	$48.8 \ \mu s$
CPU	474.6 μs	525.9 μs	$25.9 \ \mu s$
Hard Disk I/O	$451.2 \ \mu s$	552.6 μs	$52.6 \ \mu s$
USB I/O	443.5 μs	556.5 μs	56.5 μs
Network	438.1 μs	560.4 μs	$61.9 \ \mu s$
Scheduling	447.4 μs	553.2 μs	53.2 μs
Miscellaneous	445.7 μs	552.4 μs	54.3 μs

Test	Cycle min (µs)	Cycle max(μs)	<u>Ecart-type</u> (µs)
IDLE	485.4	518.32	1,65
CPU	483.12	518.72	1,67
HDD	476.92	524.6	4,85
USB	476.92	526.04	4,09
SCHED	480.16	522.92	2,74



Conclusion + future work

- Good job as Damien didn't know anything about Xenomai (and POWERLINK) when he arrived !
- Currently not stable enough for industrial use \rightarrow stack debug and optimization
- Work with EPSG and B&R
 - mainlining in openPOWERLINK project
 - more test with available POWERLINK devices



Bibliography

- http://www.ethernet-powerlink.org
- http://openpowerlink.sourceforge.net/web
- http://www.automationworld.com/networking-amp-connectivity/fieldbus-industrial-ethernet
- https://lwn.net/Articles/572740
- http://www.beremiz.org
- https://www.osadl.org/fileadmin/dam/rtlws/12/Baumgartner.pdf
- https://lwn.net/images/conf/rtlws-2011/proc/Baumgartner.pdf
- "Introduction à RTnet", P. FICHEUX Open Silicium #15 (french)
- Internship report "openPOWERLINK over Xenomai" D. LAGNEUX (french)
- http://www.armadeus.com/francais/produits-cartes_microprocesseur-apf6.html
- http://www.embest-tech.com/shop/star/riotboard.html