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Why is JSON so popular?

1. Simple
2. Self describing
3. Easy to debug
4. Easy to process in languages
5. Browser tooling
6. Less verbose than XML

Lacks

1. Extend as needed
2. Performance
3. Storage size
The Fallacies of Distributed Computing

- The network is reliable
- Latency is zero
- Bandwidth is infinite
- The network is secure
- Topology doesn't change
- There is one administrator
- Transport cost is zero
- The network is homogeneous
Yes, we can

An open, performant, resilient and universal way to connect and operate distributed systems and provide a framework to make much smarter clients and servers
Making Google frameworks available in Open

Borg → Kubernetes

Stubby → GRPC

Google
Microservices at Google
\(~O(10^{10})\) RPCs per second.
Open source on Github for C, C++, Java, Node.js, Python, Ruby, Go, C#, PHP, Objective-C

http://grpc.io
What is gRPC?

- **HTTP/2** and **Protocol Buffer** based RPC framework
- Evolution of Stubby; being adopted at Google as next gen framework
- Open, Secure, Performant, Multiplatform

**Multiplatform**

- Idiomatic APIs in popular languages (C++, Go, Java, C#, Node.js, Ruby, PHP, Python)
- Supports mobile devices (Android Java, iOS Obj-C)
- Linux, Windows, Mac OS X
Where is the project today?

- 1.1 with stable APIs
- Joining CNCF soon :-)
- Well documented with an active community
- Reliable with continuous running tests
  - Deployable in your environment
- Measured with an open performance dashboard
  - Deployable in your environment
- Well adopted inside and outside Google
Some early adopters

- Square
- Netflix
- Lyft
- The New York Times
- Core
- Docker
- Carbon3D
- Cockroach Labs
- Juniper Networks
- Cisco
- Arista
- Google
- Allo

Microservices: in data centres

Client Server communication/Internal APIs

Streaming telemetry from network devices

Mobile Apps
PROTOBUF & HTTP/2
Protocol Buffers are

- Efficient
  - Binary protocol; much faster serialization
  - 3-10x smaller and 20-100x faster than XML\(^1\)
  - 1.5-3x smaller and 1.5-3x faster than JSON\(^2\)

- With Simple IDL
- Strong typing -> avoids errors earlier & can enforce strict contracts
- Allows to extend/grow -> API evolution
- Used for logging, storage, and talking to other servers
$ cat student.proto

// Definition of a Student object

syntax = "proto2";
option cc_api_version = 2;
option java_api_version = 2;

package social;

message Student {
  required int32 unique_id = 1;
  required string first_name = 2;
  ...
  optional double gpa = 8;
  optional string nickname = 9 [default = "bob"][default = "bob"];  
  repeated int32 friend_id = 10;
}

Google
How we roll at Google

There are two kinds of jobs here, Bob.

"Proto to proto" and "Proto to UI"

Two, Bob.
HTTP/1.x vs HTTP/2

http://http2.golang.org/gophertiles

History of HTTP

- **HTTP/0.9**
- **HTTP/1.0**
- **HTTP/1.1**
- ?
HTTP/2

HTTP/2 is extending, not replacing, the previous HTTP standards.

The application semantics of HTTP are the same:

• HTTP header fields
• HTTP Methods
• Request-response
• Status codes
• URIs

HTTP/2 modifies how the data is formatted (framed) and transported between the client and server.
HTTP/2 breaks down the HTTP protocol communication into an exchange of binary-encoded **frames**, which are then mapped to **messages** that belong to a **stream**, and all of which are **multiplexed within a single TCP connection**.
HTTP/2 in One Slide

- Single TCP connection.
- No Head-of-line blocking.
- Binary framing layer.
- Request -> Stream.
- Header Compression.

HTTP/1.x

POST: /upload
HTTP/1.1
Host: www.javaday.org.ua
Content-Type: application/json
Content-Length: 27

{"msg": "Welcome to 2017!"}

HTTP/2

HEADERS Frame

DATA Frame
KEY DESIGN PRINCIPLES
Coverage & Simplicity

The stack should be available on every popular development platform and easy for someone to build for their platform of choice. It should be viable on CPU & memory limited devices.

http://www.grpc.io/blog/principles
## gRPC Speaks Your Language

### Service definitions and client libraries
- Java
- Go
- C/C++
- C#
- Node.js
- PHP
- Ruby
- Python
- Objective-C

### Platforms supported
- MacOS
- Linux
- Windows
- Android
- iOS
Interoperability
# Easy to get started

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Platform</th>
<th>Command</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Node.js</td>
<td>Linux, Mac, Windows</td>
<td><code>npm install grpc</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Python</td>
<td>Linux, Mac, Windows</td>
<td><code>pip install grpcio</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruby</td>
<td>Linux, Mac, Windows</td>
<td><code>gem install grpc</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHP</td>
<td>Linux, Mac, Windows</td>
<td><code>pecl install grpc-beta</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Go</td>
<td>Linux, Mac, Windows</td>
<td><code>go get google.golang.org/grpc</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective-C</td>
<td>Mac</td>
<td>Runtime source fetched automatically from Github by Cocoapods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C#</td>
<td>Windows</td>
<td>Install gRPC NuGet package from your IDE (Visual Studio, Monodevelop, Xamarin Studio)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Java</td>
<td>Linux, Mac, Windows</td>
<td>Use our Maven and Gradle plugins that provide gRPC with statically linked boringssl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C++</td>
<td>Linux, Mac, Windows</td>
<td>Currently requires manual build and install</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
gRPC Principles & Requirements

General Purpose and Performant

The stack should be applicable to a broad class of use-cases while sacrificing little when compared to a use-case specific stack

http://www.grpc.io/blog/principles
gRPC vs JSON/HTTP for Google Cloud Pub/Sub

3x increase in throughput
Publishing 50KB messages at maximum throughput from a single n1-highcpu-16 GPE VM instance, using 9 gRPC channels.

11x difference per CPU
More impressive than the almost 3x increase in throughput, is that it took only 1/4 of the CPU resources.

Source Blog of Cloud Pubsub
### Some external perf comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RPC</th>
<th># of requests</th>
<th># of clients</th>
<th>total time</th>
<th>per-request time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>jsonrpc</code></td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8m7.270s</td>
<td>1.624ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>gRPC</code></td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36.715s</td>
<td>122.383μs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>gRPC</code></td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7.167s</td>
<td>23.892μs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

And if compared on memory usage:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RPC</th>
<th><code>jsonrpc</code></th>
<th><code>gRPC</code></th>
<th>delta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NsPerOp</td>
<td>487271046903</td>
<td>367116116701</td>
<td>-92.46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AllocsPerOp</td>
<td>32747687</td>
<td>25221256</td>
<td>-22.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AllocatedBytesPerOp</td>
<td>3182814152</td>
<td>1795122672</td>
<td>-43.60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RPC</th>
<th><code>jsonrpc</code></th>
<th><code>gRPC</code> with 100 clients</th>
<th>delta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NsPerOp</td>
<td>487271046903</td>
<td>7168591678</td>
<td>-98.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AllocsPerOp</td>
<td>32747687</td>
<td>25230286</td>
<td>-22.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AllocatedBytesPerOp</td>
<td>3182814152</td>
<td>1795831944</td>
<td>-43.58%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RPC</th>
<th><code>gRPC</code></th>
<th><code>gRPC</code> with 100 clients</th>
<th>delta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NsPerOp</td>
<td>367116116701</td>
<td>7168591678</td>
<td>-80.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AllocsPerOp</td>
<td>25221256</td>
<td>25230286</td>
<td>+0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AllocatedBytesPerOp</td>
<td>1795122672</td>
<td>1795831944</td>
<td>+0.04%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
gRPC Principles & Requirements

Pluggable

Large distributed systems need security, health-checking, load-balancing and failover, monitoring, tracing, logging, and so on. Implementations should provide extensions points to allow for plugging in these features and, where useful, default implementations.

http://www.grpc.io/blog/principles
Interceptors

Client interceptors

Request

Response

Client interceptors

Server interceptors

Client

Server
gRPC Principles & Requirements

Payload Agnostic

Different services need to use different message types and encodings such as protocol buffers, json, xml, thrift; the protocol and implementations must allow for this. Similarly the need for payload compression varies by use-case and payload type: the protocol should allow for pluggable compression mechanisms.

http://www.grpc.io/blog/principles
gRPC Principles & Requirements

Flow Control

Computing power and network capacity are often unbalanced between client and server. Flow control allows for better buffer management as well as providing protection from DOS by an overlay active peer.

http://www.grpc.io/blog/principles
Network Control

Operations can be expensive and long lived. **Cancellation** allows servers to reclaim resources when clients are well-behaved. **Deadlines** let servers know what is the expected time of response and behave accordingly.

http://www.grpc.io/blog/principles
Streaming

Storage systems rely on streaming and flow control to express large data sets. Other services like voice to text or stock tickers rely on streaming to represent temporally related message sequences.

http://www.grpc.io/blog/principles
## gRPC Service Definitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Unary</strong></th>
<th><strong>Server streaming</strong></th>
<th><strong>Client streaming</strong></th>
<th><strong>BiDi streaming</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unary RPCs where the client sends a single request to the server and gets a single response back, just like a normal function call.</td>
<td>The client sends a request to the server and gets a stream to read a sequence of messages back. The client reads from the returned stream until there are no more messages.</td>
<td>The client send a sequence of messages to the server using a provided stream. Once the client has finished writing the messages, it waits for the server to read them and return its response.</td>
<td>Both sides send a sequence of messages using a read-write stream. The two streams operate independently. The order of messages in each stream is preserved.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
gRPC Principles & Requirements

Metadata Exchange

Common cross cutting concerns like auth and tracing rely on the exchange of data that is not part of declared interface. Deployments rely on their ability to evolve these features at a different rate to the individual APIs exposed by services.

http://www.grpc.io/blog/principles
In summary, gRPC and Stubby have some lessons

- Common IDL with performant serializer gives better performance and improves developer productivity
- Framework handling hard concepts like streaming, deadlines, cancellations, flow control help makes devs life easier and services thinner
- Framework handling stats/tracing/logging, LB in a uniform way allows for easy change management and uniform observability

Single horizontal framework for all service-service communication with logging, monitoring, tracing, network controls, service discovery, load balancing built in; makes lives much easier within an organization
Let’s build on top and make it stronger

- Building on!
  - First class Web support
  - First class caching, compression support
  - First class debugging and testing support
  - First class metrics and tracing support
  - Tooling for testing, docgen, samplegen
  - Automagic retries
  - Smart load balancing support
  - First class service discovery and service-service auth

Together we can leverage this framework and let all developers build smarter clients and servers
Thank you and join us!

Twitter: @grpcio
Site: grpc.io
Group: grpc-io@googlegroups.com
Repo: github.com/grpc
      github.com/grpc/grpc-java
      github.com/grpc/grpc-go
Q & A
H1 Protocol Overhead

HTTP metadata (headers).

Not compressed plain text headers for each and every HTTP request.

Workarounds: cookies, sessions, concatenation, sprinting, etc.
Streaming secure throughput QPS (32 core client to 32 core server)

Throughput as streaming ping-pong operations per second between two GCE VMs (32 cores each). Secure connection is used.
Trends

- Open Source
- IOT
- Mobile First
- AI First
- Public/Hybrid Clouds
- VR/AR
- Microservices
- SaaS/XaaS
- Software Defined Everything
Trends

- **Open Source** => Spreading everywhere!
- **IOT** => millions of devices, constrained resources, reliable network
- **Public/Hybrid Cloud** => distributed environments, cloud services
- **VR/AR** => resource intensive, battery/data consuming
- **Microservices** => network performance matters
- **SaaS/XaaS** => consume anything from anywhere
- **Mobile first** => first class mobile libs
- **Software Defined Everything** => Apps, Config, Networks

We can do better in this world where IOT, performance matters, developer productivity and agility matters, resilience matters, microservices, multi-cloud,
HTTP1.x/JSON doesn’t cut it!

1. WWW, browser growth - bled into services
2. Stateless
3. Text on the wire
4. Loose contracts
5. TCP connection per request
6. Nouns based
7. Harder API evolution
8. Think compute, network on cloud platforms
Establish a lingua franca

2. Start with IDL
3. Have a language agnostic way of agreeing on data semantics
4. Code Gen in various languages
5. Forward and Backward compatibility
6. API Evolution
Design for fault tolerance and control

- Sync and Async APIs
- Need fault tolerance: Deadlines, Cancellations
- Control Knobs: Flow control, Service Config, Metadata
Service Config

- Policies where server tells client what they should do
- Can specify deadlines, lb policy, payload size per method of a service
- Loved by SREs, they have more control
- Discovery via DNS
Don’t fly blind: Stats

- What is the mean latency time per RPC?
- How many RPCs per hour for a service?
- Errors in last minute/hour?
- How many bytes sent? How many connections to my server?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Request Status</th>
<th>RPC Stats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Channel.Rpc3.ClientChannel</td>
<td>1698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel.Rpc3.ServerChannel</td>
<td>523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recv.CensusService</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recv.FrontEndStreamService</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recv.HTTPOverRPC</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recv.ScottyAgent</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recv.Streamz</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SentBalancer.ChannelControl</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sent.BlobService</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sent.ChangeListSearch</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sent.CodeSearchService</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sent.Controller2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sent.CustomFieldsService</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sent.EnvelopeControlService</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sent.FavoritesService</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sent.FocusBackend</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data collection by arbitrary metadata is useful

- Any service’s resource usage and performance stats in real time by (almost) any arbitrary metadata
  1. Service X can monitor CPU usage in their jobs broken down by the name of the invoked RPC and the mdb user who sent it.
  2. Ads can monitor the RPC latency of shared bigtable jobs when responding to their requests, broken down by whether the request originated from a user on web/Android/iOS.
  3. Gmail can collect usage on servers, broken down by according POP/IMAP/web/Android/iOS. Layer propagates Gmail’s metadata down to every service, even if the request was made by an intermediary job that Gmail doesn't own

- Stats layer export data to varz and streamz, and provides stats to many monitoring systems and dashboards
Diagnosing problems: Tracing

- 1/10K requests takes very long. It's an ad query :-) I need to find out.
- Take a sample and store in database; help identify request in sample which took similar amount of time

- I didn't get a response from the service. What happened? Which link in the service dependency graph got stuck? Stitch a trace and figure out.
- Where is it taking time for a trace? Hotspot analysis
- What all are the dependencies for a service?
Load Balancing is important!

Iteration 1: Stubby Balancer
Iteration 2: Client side load balancing
Iteration 3: Hybrid
Iteration 4: gRPC-lb
## HTTP/2 in the Wild

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implementations</th>
<th>Tools</th>
<th>Browsers</th>
<th>Java</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Apache Tomcat 8.5+</td>
<td>• curl 7.43.0+</td>
<td>• Chrome</td>
<td>• JEP 110: HTTP/2 Client.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Apache HTTP Server 2.4.17+ (C)</td>
<td>• Wireshark</td>
<td>• Firefox</td>
<td>• JEP 244: TLS ALPN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• NGINX (C)</td>
<td>• jmeter</td>
<td>• Safari*</td>
<td>Extension.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Jetty</td>
<td>• HTTP/2 Test</td>
<td>• Opera</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Netty</td>
<td>• h2i</td>
<td>• Edge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Undertow</td>
<td>• h2load</td>
<td>• IE 11*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Vert.x</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Android Browser</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• OkHttp (Android)</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Chrome for Android</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*only HTTP/2 over TLS (https)*

- [Implementations](https://github.com/http2/http2-spec/wiki/Implementations)
- [Tools](https://github.com/http2/http2-spec/wiki/Tools)
- [Can I Use?](http://caniuse.com/#feat=http2)
Agility & Resilience

The Speed of Agility

Change
Adapt
Adjust
Developer Productivity

Mapping the Developer Work Week

(median hours/week)

- Writing Code: 15 hours
- Problem-Solving: 2 hours
- Communication: 3 hours
- Overhead: 4 hours
- Strategy: 4 hours
- Procrastination: 5 hours
- QA: 5 hours
- Firefighting: 2 hours
Performance
Deadline Propagation

withDeadlineAfter(200, MILLISECONDS)

Gateway

40 ms  90 ms  60 ms

DEADLINE_EXCEEDED  DEADLINE_EXCEEDED  DEADLINE_EXCEEDED

Now = 1476600000000
Deadline = 14766000000200

Now = 1476600000040
Deadline = 14766000000200

Now = 14766000000150
Deadline = 14766000000200

Now = 14766000000230
Deadline = 14766000000200
Cancellation?

Deadlines are expected.

What about unpredictable cancellations?

• User cancelled request.

• Caller is not interested in the result any more.
Cancellation?
Cancellation Propagation